

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/866,298 | | 05/25/2001 | Horst Muhlfeld | 22750/476 | 4312 | |
| 26646 | 7590 | 11/05/2002 | • | | | |
| KENYON | | ON | EXAMI | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | | | GORR, RA | CHEL F | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1711 | 10 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002 | • | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | | _ | _ | AS-TO_ | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | 09/866,29 | 98 | MUHLFELD ET AL. | | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | | Rachel G | | 1711 | | | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI sions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum e to reply within the set or extended period for rep sply received by the Office later than three month d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventumunication. (30) days, a reply within the statestatutory period will apply and wiply will, by statute, cause the apply after the mailing date of this co | ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO | timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this community NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) | filed on | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. | 2b) ☐ This action is | non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-24 is/are pe | ending in the application | ١. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/ | are withdrawn from col | nsideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)🖂 | Claim(s) 21-24 is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-20</u> is/are reject ed . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) 🗌 . | The proposed drawing correction fi | | | proved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) | ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priori | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priori | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 | v (PTO-948) e) Paper No(s) | | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ₋ nal Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/866,298

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heidingsfeld in view of Ulrich, Morikawa and Woods.

See Paper No. 8, paragraphs 3-7.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10-8-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that the combination of references doesn't disclose the invention. Heidingsfeld shows all the same (a) (d) components in overlapping amounts. It's assumed that the melt temperature would be the same if the product is the same, and preparation in a tumbling mixer wouldn't make the product different from one made in an extruder.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/866,298

Art Unit: 1711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G. November 1, 2002

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER